

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA  
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

LORENZO DIAZ,

Defendant.

No. CR97-0015-LRR

**ORDER**

---

This matter comes before the court on its own motion.<sup>1</sup> In relevant part, 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c) provides:

The court may not modify a term of imprisonment once it has been imposed except that . . . in the case of a defendant who has been sentenced to a term of imprisonment based on a sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered by the Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. [§] 994(o), upon motion of the defendant or the Director of the Bureau of Prisons, or on its own motion, the court may reduce the term of imprisonment, after considering the factors set forth in [18 U.S.C. §] 3553(a) to the extent that they are applicable, if such a reduction is consistent with applicable policy statements issued by the Sentencing Commission.

18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2); *see also United States v. Auman*, 8 F.3d 1268, 1271 (8th Cir. 1993) (“Section 3582(c)(2) is a provision that permits a district court to reduce a term of imprisonment if the sentencing range upon which the term was based is subsequently lowered by the Sentencing Commission.”).

---

<sup>1</sup> At the court’s request, the United States Probation Office prepared a memorandum that, among other things, addresses the defendant’s eligibility for a sentence reduction under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2).

On November 1, 2007, the Sentencing Commission issued Amendment 706, as amended by Amendment 711, to USSG §2D1.1. *See generally* USSG App. C at [www.ussc.gov](http://www.ussc.gov). Amendment 706 generally reduces by two levels the offense level that is applicable to cocaine base (“crack”) offenses. On December 11, 2007, the Sentencing Commission voted to apply Amendment 706 retroactively to crack offenses, and it set March 3, 2008 as the date that Amendment 706 could be applied retroactively. The Sentencing Commission also promulgated amendments to USSG §1B1.10, which sets forth the conditions that must exist before a defendant is entitled to a sentence reduction as a result of an amended guideline range. *See generally* USSG App. C at [www.ussc.gov](http://www.ussc.gov). New USSG §1B1.10 took effect on March 3, 2008 and, in relevant part, states:

In a case in which a defendant is serving a term of imprisonment, and the guideline range applicable to that defendant has subsequently been lowered as a result of an amendment to the Guidelines Manual listed in subsection (c) below, the court may reduce the defendant’s term of imprisonment as provided by 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2). As required by 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2), any such reduction in the defendant’s term of imprisonment shall be consistent with this policy statement.

USSG §1B1.10(a)(1); *see also* USSG §1B1.10, comment. (n.1) (“Eligibility for consideration under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) is triggered only by an amendment listed in subsection (c) that lowers the applicable guideline range.”). The Sentencing Commission included Amendment 706 within subsection (c). USSG §1B1.10(c).


Nevertheless, the court is unable to rely on Amendment 706 to reduce the defendant’s sentence. *See generally United States v. Wyatt*, 115 F.3d 606, 608-09 (8th Cir. 1997) (explaining requirements under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) and USSG §1B1.10). Because the court sentenced the defendant as a career offender, *see* USSG §4B1.1, and Amendment 706 does not impact the defendant’s guideline range, the defendant is not

entitled to a reduction of his 170 month term of imprisonment.<sup>2</sup> Accordingly, the court concludes that a reduction under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) and USSG §1B1.10 is not warranted. *Cf. United States v. Tingle*, 2008 U.S. App. LEXIS 9396 (8th Cir. 2008) (concluding defendant could not rely on 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) to reduce his sentence because defendant's status as a career offender under USSG §4B1.1 determined guideline range and the amount of drugs under USSG §2D1.1 did not determine guideline range).

The Clerk of Court is directed to send a copy of this order to the United States, the defendant and the Federal Public Defender.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**DATED** this 9th day of May, 2008.



LINDA R. READE  
CHIEF JUDGE, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA

---

<sup>2</sup> Based on a total adjusted offense level of 34 and a criminal history category of VI, the court previously determined the defendant's guideline range to be 262 to 327 months imprisonment. After granting the government's motion to depart under USSG §5K1.1 and 18 U.S.C. § 3553(e), the court sentenced the defendant to 210 months imprisonment on count 1 of the superseding indictment. Subsequently, the court relied on Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 35(b) to reduce the defendant's sentence to 170 months imprisonment.